

**REMARKS****Claim Objections**

Page 2 of the Office Action indicates that Claims 4 and 5 are allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 4 and 5 have been amended to incorporate these suggestions. It is believed that the claims should now be in form for allowance. In light of these amendments, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the obviousness rejection.

**Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)**

The Examiner rejects Claims 1 - 3 and 7 - 11 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,783,552 issued to Trinh (hereinafter "Trinh") for the reasons of record stated on pages 2 - 3 of the Office Action. Claims 1 and 3 are cancelled herewith without prejudice. Claims 2 and 7 - 11 have been amended to depend from Claim 4. It is believed that the claims are now in form for allowance. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and allowance of the claims in the instant application.

**SUMMARY**

This response is responsive to the Office Action dated July 14, 2004. As the objections to Claims 4 and 5 and the obviousness rejection of Claims 1 - 3 and 7 - 11 has been overcome, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and allowance of the claims remaining in the instant application.

Respectfully submitted,

**FOR: ADRIAN JOHN WAYNEFORTH**

**ANGELL, ET AL.,**

By: 

Julia A. Glazer

Attorney for Applicants

Registration No. 41,783

(513) 627-4132

Date: October 8, 2004

Customer No. 27752